



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/845,589	04/30/2001	Dale F. McIntyre	82466RLO 2441		
7	7590 10/17/2002				
Thomas H. Close			EXAMINER		
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company			CARTER, MONICA SMITH		
343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3722	3722	
		DATE MAILED: 10/17/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1.4	$\overline{}$
	V	ો
Ū	71	J

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/845,589	MCINTYRE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Monica S. Carter	3722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 J</u>	<u>uly 2002</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)□ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	` ,				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on July 30, 2002 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of Application No. 09/825,453 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-6 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al. (5,897,220) in view of Attenberg (5,623,581). Huang et al. disclose a method of arranging a series of at least two visual images of different characteristics of the same image comprising using a digital image to produce a series of digital images of different characteristics and forming the images on a single medium (see figure 2 and col. 4, lines 39-58).

Huang et al. disclose the claimed invention except for cutting out the images and fixing the cut out images on a page.

Attenberg discloses a digital image reproduced on a single sheet wherein the sheet is scored along lines (58, 60) defining areas so that individual images (52) can be peeled

Application/Control Number: 09/845,589

Art Unit: 3722

off the sheet (54) and adhered to a surface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Huang's invention to include cutting and fixing the images to a surface, as taught by Attenberg, for displaying the images in a decorative manner.

Regarding claim 3, Huang et al., as modified by Attenberg, discloses the characteristic including different sizes (see figure 2 of Huang et al.).

Regarding claim 4, see the above rejections to claim 1.

Regarding claim 5, Huang et al., as modified by Attenberg, discloses the images being photographic images.

Regarding claim 6, Huang et al., as modified by Attenberg, discloses the cut out images being fixed by using an adhesive (see Attenberg, col. 2, lines 26-29).

Regarding claim 10-13, see the above rejections.

Regarding claim 14, it is inherent that other images, such as backgrounds, borders, etc. could be arranged on the page before or after fixing the images on the page.

4. Claims 2, 7-9 and 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al. in view of Attenberg, as used above, and further in view of Morag (6,324,545).

Huang et al., as modified by Attenberg, discloses the claimed invention except for an instruction sheet providing information including text and images in digital format over a communication channel to a service provider.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,589

Art Unit: 3722

Morag discloses a method of generating a personalized photo album comprising using digital images transmitted over a service provider. The images and instructions are transmitted by digital means such as over the Internet. The service provider prints the images on a single sheet of paper. Once the album is complete, an electronic proof copy may be sent to the customer for approval having computer-readable instructions for viewing and/or printing of the album. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Huang's invention to include instructions, as taught by Morag, for providing information related to the album between the customer and the service provider.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Attenberg (5,913,019).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica S. Carter whose telephone number is (703) 305- 0305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00 AM 5:30 PM).

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea L. Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Monica S. Carter

Examiner
Art Unit 3722

October 16, 2002